Original Study

Dynamic Monitoring and Predictive Value of Circulating Tumor Cells in EGFR-Mutated Advanced Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients Treated With First-Line EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

Tao Jiang,¹ Jing Zhao,¹ Chao Zhao,² Xuefei Li,² Jiqiao Shen,¹ Juan Zhou,¹ Shengxiang Ren,¹ Chunxia Su,¹ Caicun Zhou,¹ Mary O'Brien³

Abstract

We prospectively investigated the dynamic monitoring and predictive value of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*)-mutated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients treated with first-line *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). Folate receptor-positive CTC counts can be used for both the dynamic monitoring and prediction of outcome in *EGFR*-mutated NSCLC patients treated with *EGFR*-TKIs, which could serve as an alternative or supplement to computed tomographic scanning.

Background: There is an urgent need to develop a convenient and less invasive technique to monitor the efficacy of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in patients with EGFR-mutated non-smallcell lung cancer (NSCLC). We proposed folate receptor-based assay to count circulating tumor cells (CTCs) to predict and dynamically monitor the therapeutic response to first-line EGFR-TKIs in patients with EGFR-mutated NSCLC. Patients and Methods: Eligible patients were enrolled, and 3 mL of blood was obtained before initial treatment, 1 month after treatment, and every 2 months thereafter. CTCs were isolated on the basis of negative enrichment by immunomagnetic beads and detected by a ligand-targeted PCR method. Results: A total of 232 patients with EGFRmutated NSCLC and treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs were included. Patients with low baseline CTC count had a markedly longer progression-free survival (hazard ratio = 0.48; P < .001) and overall survival (hazard ratio = 0.52; P = .002) than those with high count. This difference remained significant in multivariate analysis. Dynamic change of CTC count was significantly associated with partial response (P = .042) and stable disease/progressive disease (P = .032). Notably, dynamic monitoring of CTC provided evidence of resistance to EGFR-TKIs before computed tomographic scanning with a median lead time of 113 days (range, 45-169 days). Conclusion: The current evidence suggests that folate receptor-positive CTC counts can be used for both the dynamic monitoring and prediction of outcome in EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients treated with EGFR-TKIs, which could serve as an alternative or supplement to computed tomographic scanning.

> Clinical Lung Cancer, Vol. ■, No. ■, 1-10 © 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Keywords: CTC, EGFR-TKI, Prediction, Non-small-cell lung cancer

Presented in part as a poster at the 17th World Conference on Lung Cancer (WCLC), 2016, Vienna, Austria.

T.J. and J.Z. contributed equally to this work as first authors.

¹Department of Medical Oncology

²Department of Lung Cancer and Immunology, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, PR China

³Department of Medicine, Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

Submitted: Oct 8, 2018; Revised: Nov 13, 2018; Accepted: Nov 26, 2018

Address for correspondence: Caicun Zhou, PhD, MD and Chunxia Su, PhD, MD, Department of Medical Oncology, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Thoracic Cancer Institute, Tongji University School of Medicine, No. 507, Zheng Min Road, Shanghai 200433, PR of China

Fax: +86-21-65111298; e-mail contact: caicunzhou_dr@163.com; susu_mail@126. com

Dynamic Monitoring of CTCs

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related death both in China and worldwide.^{1,2} Non-smallcell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80% to 85% of all cases of lung cancer.^{3,4} The discovery of mutations of several driver genes such as epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*), ROS1 protooncogene receptor tyrosine kinase (*ROS1*), and serine/threonine—protein kinase v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B (*BRAF*) in NSCLC has increased the treatment options for these patients.^{5,6} Patients with *EGFR*-active mutations could significantly benefit from therapy with *EGFR* tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs).⁷⁻¹⁰ However, acquired resistance is inevitable in the majority of patients after 10 to 12 months of initial therapy.¹¹

To overcome drug resistance, several third-generation *EGFR*-TKIs based on the resistance molecular mechanism, such as osimertinib, have been developed and have demonstrated remarkable response in both preclinical and clinical settings.^{12,13} Despite the superior efficacy of different generations *EGFR*-TKIs, it is still important to monitor recurrence and disease progression (PD) because NSCLC may relapse as a result of acquired resistance or other unknown events. Unfortunately, there is a lack of validated biomarkers for tracking the disease burden of patients with *EGFR*mutant NSCLC. Currently radiologic assessment such as computed tomographic (CT) scan remains the standard method to interpret the therapeutic response of targeted therapy. Therefore, there is a need to develop a convenient and less invasive technique to monitor the efficacy of *EGFR*-TKIs in patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) could be an alternative marker of response. CTCs are shed from the primary or metastatic tumor mass and migrate into the circulating system.¹⁴ Through a simple blood test, CTCs can be captured and analyzed for dynamic monitoring of cancer response. CTC count has been shown to be useful in predicting prognosis of metastatic breast cancer, colorectal cancer, cutaneous melanoma, gastric cancer, NSCLC, and prostate cancer.¹⁵⁻²⁰ Several studies have shown that baseline CTC count was an independent predictive factor of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of advanced NSCLC patients treated with chemotherapy, yet the significance of CTCs in advanced NSCLC remains controversial.^{19,21-23} A recent prospective phase 2 study investigated the association between the efficacy of EGFR-TKIs and CTC count in patients with advanced NSCLC.²⁴ Their results showed that low CTC count was associated with the significantly better objective response rate and longer PFS than those with high CTC count. Although this study revealed the potential value of CTC counts in predicting EGFR-TKI activity in patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC, it enrolled only a small number of participants, and its conclusions require further validation. Furthermore, there are no data on the dynamic monitoring value of CTC count in EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs.

We previously showed that folate receptor (FR)-positive CTCs have a high sensitivity (72%-76%) and specificity (82%-88%) for the diagnosis of lung cancer, thus supporting the clinical significance of FRpositive CTCs with a cutoff point of 8.7 FR units (FU)/3 mL.²⁵ Thus, it has recently been approved by the Chinese Food and Drug Administration for clinical application for differential diagnosis of lung cancer. To further investigate the predictive and dynamic monitoring significance of FR-positive CTC counts in patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC treated with *EGFR*-TKIs, we conducted this study to prospectively collect peripheral blood from included patients. CTC counts were analyzed on the basis of FR expression and were detected by the ligand-targeted (LT) PCR method as described previously.²⁵⁻²⁷ We aimed to explore the association between the efficacy of *EGFR*-TKIs and CTC count in patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

Patients and Methods

Study Design

This was a prospective single-institution clinical study conducted at the Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital (registration ChiCTR-DDT-15006040, http://www.chictr.org.cn/showprojen.aspx?proj=10511). Patients aged \geq 18 years with treatment-naive, histologically or cytologically confirmed advanced NSCLC with EGFR mutations were enrolled. Peripheral blood samples (3 mL) were collected for CTC analysis within 1 day before receiving treatment (defined as the baseline), 1 month after treatment commenced, and every 2 months thereafter. Eligible patients were treated with EGFR-TKIs including afatinib (40 mg once a day), erlotinib (150 mg once a day), gefitinib (250 mg once a day), or icotinib (125 mg 3 times a day) based on both doctors' advice and patients' choice. Radiologic partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), and PD was defined in accordance with the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1. The objective response rate defined as the sum of complete response plus PR. The disease control rate was defined as the sum of complete response plus PR plus SD. CTC relapse was defined as increased CTC counts \geq 3. The treatment response was evaluated 1 month after the initiation of therapy and then every 2 months. Radiographic follow-up was performed by CT scan according to RECIST.

Major clinicopathologic characteristics, including demographic information, smoking history, pathologic type, clinical stage, and treatment received, were recorded. A never smoker was defined as a person who had smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes during his lifetime. Smoking status, age, pathologic type, and clinical stage were documented at the time of diagnosis. The ethics committees of Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital approved the study, and informed consent was obtained before sample collection.

EGFR Mutation Analysis

Fresh tumor tissue samples or formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsy samples were collected from the enrolled patients before any systemic treatment. A DNA isolation kit (Amoy Diagnostics, Xiamen, China) was used to extract DNA according to the manufacturer's instructions. *EGFR* mutations were detected using Human *EGFR* Mutation Detection kits (Amoy Diagnostics) via an amplification-refractory mutation system as described in our previous studies.²⁸⁻³⁰ All experiments were performed at the Thoracic Cancer Institute, Tongji University School of Medicine.

CTC Analysis

CTC analysis was performed within 24 hours of collection by using CytoploRare method provided by GenoSaber Biotech

(Shanghai, China) as previously described.²⁵ Blood samples (3 mL) from eligible individuals were collected in 5 mL ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid anticoagulant tubes before commencing treatment and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C. In brief, CTCs were enriched from 3 mL of whole blood by immunomagnetic depletion of leukocytes and then labeled with conjugates of a tumor-specific ligand folic acid and a synthesized oligonucleotide. After washing off free conjugates, the stripped bound conjugates were analyzed by quantitative PCR. In this study, the quantity of CTC was expressed as the number of CTCs in 3 mL blood. A serial of standards containing oligonucleotides (10⁻¹⁴ to 10⁻⁹ mol/L, corresponding to 2 to 2 \times 10⁵ CTC units/3 mL blood) were used for CTC quantification. The cutoff point was 8.7 FU/3 mL for positive/ negative CTC value. Although a cutoff of 20.5 FU/3 mL for high/ low CTC level was reported in our previous studies,^{25,31,32} a new cutoff should be reevaluated because of the different populations of different studies and distinct biologic behavior of NSCLC versus small-cell lung cancer. In this study, we utilized the method of exhaustion by listing the possible cutoff points and related hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to find the optimal cutoff of high versus low CTC count at baseline.

Statistical Analysis

Lead time was calculated as the difference between time of radiologic PD and relapse evidenced by CTC count. The categorical variables were compared by chi-square tests or by the Fisher exact test, as appropriate. PFS was defined as the time from the date of first-line treatment initiation to the date of systemic progression or death, and was censored at the date of last tumor assessment (when carried out). Kaplan-Meier curve and 2-sided log-rank test were used for univariate survival analyses. The Cox proportional hazards model was utilized for uni- and multivariate survival analyses to calculate the HRs and corresponding 95% CIs. The primary end point included the prediction for PFS and OS of baseline CTC level in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC patients treated with first-line *EGFR*-TKIs. The secondary end point was the dynamic monitoring value of CTC count in *EGFR*-TKI treatment response.

P < .05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS 18.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY) or GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).

Results

Patient Characteristics

A total of 488 consecutive patients with NSCLC were enrolled onto the study between September 2014 and May 2016. Among them, 445 patients received an *EGFR* mutation test, and 232 of them had *EGFR* activating mutations. Of these, 217 patients were eligible for and had data evaluable for RECIST assessment (Figure 1). A total of 164 patients (75.6%) had a positive CTC count at baseline based on our previous findings.^{25,31,32} Demographic features of included patients are listed in Table 1. There was no significant difference in age, sex, smoking history, pathologic types, clinical stage, and distant metastases between high and low CTC count groups. Patients with high CTC count had a higher rate of *EGFR* rare mutations than those with low CTC count (16.0% vs. 4.9%; P = .006; Table 1).

Figure 1 Flowchart of Study Design



Abbreviations: CTC = circulating tumor cell; EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor; NSCLC = non-small-cell lung cancer; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TKI = tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

Association Between Response Rate and Baseline CTC Counts

To assess the association between CTC count and response rate, evaluable data of 217 patients were analyzed. At baseline, there was no significant difference in CTC count among patients with PR, SD, and PD (Figure 2A). Both the disease control rate (85.2% vs. 80.0%; P = .326) and objective response rate (54.9% vs. 45.3%; P = .179) were comparable between the low and high CTC count groups (Table 1).

Predictive Value of Baseline CTC Counts on PFS and OS

As shown in Supplemental Figure 1 in the online version, both PFS and OS were similar between patients with positive versus negative CTC count. To investigate the optimal cutoff of high versus low CTC count that could be used to stratify the total populations into different prognostic groups, we listed the potential cutoff points and related HR and 95% CI. We observed when the cutoff was 17.0 FU/3 mL blood, the HR and 95% CI were most significant. Hence, we chose this value as the optimal cutoff of high versus low CTC count (Figure 3A). The median PFS values of patients with low CTC count were significantly longer than those with high CTC count (412 vs. 267 days; HR = 0.48; 95% CI, 0.28-0.66; *P* < .001; Figure 3B). Of note, patients with low CTC count also had the prolonged OS than those with high count (836 vs. 583 days; HR = 0.52; 95% CI, 0.27-0.74; P = .002; Figure 3C). Subgroup analyses showed that in the EGFR 19del mutation group, patients with low baseline CTC count had a significantly prolonged PFS (HR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.24-0.85; P = .014; Supplemental Figure 2A in the online version) and OS (HR = 0.52; 95% CI, 0.22-0.94; P = .036; Supplemental Figure 2Din the online version) than those with high baseline CTC count. In patients with EGFR L858R mutation, the low baseline CTC count group had the longer PFS (HR = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.23-0.89; *P* = .023; Supplemental Figure 2B in the online version) and OS (HR = 0.43; 95% CI, 0.12-0.68; P = .007; Supplemental Figure 2E in the online

Dynamic Monitoring of CTCs

Table 1 Clinical and Molecular	Characteristics of Included Patier	nts			
Characteristic	$CTC \le 17.0 (N = 142)$	CTC > 17.0 (N = 75)	Р		
Age (years), median (range)	62 (31-85)	61 (27-83)			
Sex					
Male	65 (45.77)	42 (56.00)	.1519		
Female	77 (54.23)	33 (44.00)			
Smoking Status					
Never smoker	112 (78.87)	54 (72.00)	.2561		
Current/ever Smoker	30 (21.13)	21 (28.00)			
Pathologic Type					
ADC	129 (90.85)	66 (88.00)	.509		
ADS	1 (0.70)	2 (2.67)			
NOS	12 (8.45)	7 (9.33)			
Clinical Stage					
llib	11 (7.75)	6 (8.00)	.947		
IV	131 (92.25)	69 (92.00)			
Site of Distant Metastases					
Brain	38 (26.76)	20 (26.67)	.438		
Bone	67 (47.18)	32 (42.67)			
Liver	8 (5.63)	3 (4.00)			
Other sites	91 (64.08)	52 (69.33)			
Mutation Type					
19del	66 (46.48)	34 (45.33)	.006		
L858R	69 (48.59)	29 (38.67)			
Rare mutations	7 (4.93)	12 (16.00)			
Response Rate					
Complete response	0	0			
Partial response	78 (54.93)	34 (45.33)			
Stable disease	43 (30.28)	26 (34.67)			
Progressive disease	21 (14.79)	15 (20.00)			
Disease control rate	121 (85.21)	60 (80.00)	.326		
Objective response rate	78 (54.93)	34 (45.33)	.179		

Data are presented as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

Abbreviations: ADC = adenocarcinoma; ADS = adenosquamous carcinoma; CTC = circulating tumor cell; NOS = not otherwise specified.

version) than the high baseline CTC count group. In the *EGFR* rare mutation group, patients with low CTC count had numerically longer PFS than those with high CTC count (HR = 0.37; 95% CI, 0.12-1.46; P = .181; Supplemental Figure 2C in the online version), but the OS was similar (HR = 0.94; 95% CI, 0.17-5.03; P = .940; Supplemental Figure 2F in the online version).

Dynamic Monitoring Value of CTC Counts

To investigate the dynamic monitoring value of CTC count in *EGFR*-TKI treatment response, the first evaluation was performed 1 month after initiation of treatment. In total, PR, SD, and PD were found in 112 (51.6%), 69 (31.8%), and 36 (16.6%) patients, respectively. For patients with PR, a significant decrease in CTC count was observed after 1 month (P = .020, Figure 2B). However, the change in CTC count for patients with SD was not significant (P = .569, Figure 2C). To explore whether the sequential analysis of CTC count can be used to dynamically monitor the therapeutic response, patients were divided into 2 groups according to the

radiologic response assessed after 3 months. For patients who acquired PR at the first evaluation which was not sustained at the second evaluation (SD + PD group), a significant increase in CTC count was observed after 3 months compared to baseline CTC value (P = .032, Figure 2D). For patients who experienced PR after 3 months (PR group), a significant decrease in CTC count was observed (P = .042, Figure 2E). Notably, dynamic monitoring of CTC count further showed lead-time evidence of PD before CT scanning in 11 patients (Figure 4). The median relapse time was 150 days (range, 90-330 days) for CTC and 306 days (range, 147-443 days) for CT scan alone. The median lead time was 113 days (range, 45-169 days).

Univariate and Multivariate Analysis

To identify whether CTC count had the independently predictive value on PFS and OS, we further performed univariate and multivariate analyses (Table 2). Univariate analysis showed that age < 65 years (HR = 0.655; 95% CI, 0.433-0.992; P = .046),

Tao Jiang et al



Abbreviations: CTC = circulating tumor cell; PD = progression disease; PR = partial response; SD = stable disease.

disease control (HR = 0.023; 95% CI, 0.009-0.058; P < .001), and low CTC count (HR = 0.475; 95% CI, 0.321-0.702; P < .001) were significantly associated with longer PFS. Brain (HR = 1.472; 95% CI, 0.947-2.289; P = .086) or liver (HR = 3.292; 95% CI, 1.643-6.596; P = .001) metastasis was associated with poor OS. Disease control (HR = 0.126; 95% CI, 0.073-0.215; P < .001) and low CTC count (HR = 0.505; 95% CI, 0.323-0.789; P = .003) were significantly associated with prolonged OS. For multivariate analysis, low CTC count remained an independent predictor for significantly longer PFS (HR = 0.497; 95% CI, 0.335-0.736; P < .001) and OS (HR = 0.434; 95% CI, 0.274-0.689; P < .001). In addition, disease control were also independently associated with both better PFS (HR = 0.024; 95% CI, 0.008-0.072; P < .001) and OS (HR = 0.113; 95% CI, 0.065-0.197; P < .001). Liver metastasis was independently associated with poor OS (HR = 4.091; 95% CI, 2.001-8.366; P < .001) (Table 2).

Discussion

Prediction and dynamic monitoring of *EGFR*-TKI treatment efficacy and assessment of treatment resistance in real time were valuable to identify the optimal populations of patients who would obtain maximal clinical benefit, and then to optimize therapeutic strategies in due course. We conducted this prospective study aiming to validate the predictive and dynamic monitoring value of CTC counts, an noninvasive approach, in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC patients treated with first-line *EGFR*-TKIs. The current results suggested that CTC counts had an independent prognostic value on

EGFR-TKI treatment in patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC. Moreover, changes in CTC counts were associated with therapeutic response.

The application of CTC count for predicting EGFR-TKI treatment efficacy has been previously reported by other groups. Punnoose et al³³ performed a phase 2 clinical trial to investigate the predictive and prognostic value of CTC counts in 41 NSCLC patients treated with pertuzumab and erlotinib. Their results showed that higher baseline CTC counts were associated with treatment response (P = .014), and decreased CTC counts at treatment were associated with radiologic response (P = .019) and prolonged PFS (P = .050). Hence, CTCs are effective to monitor EGFR-TKI therapeutic efficacy in patients with NSCLC. He et al²⁴ conducted a small-size prospective phase 2 study to evaluate the association between the efficacy of EGFR-TKI and CTC levels in patients with advanced NSCLC. By using a cutoff of 5/7.5 mL, patients with low CTC count had a higher response rate (53.3% vs. 27.8%; P < .05) and disease control rate (80.0% vs. 44.4%; P < .05). Furthermore, PFS and OS in the low CTC level group were significantly longer than that in high CTC level group. Consistently, our results also revealed that patients with low baseline CTC level had the significantly longer PFS and OS than those with high baseline CTC level. Taken together, CTC counts were a valuable method to predict the treatment efficacy and prognosis of EGFR-TKIs in patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC.

The dynamic monitoring value of CTCs during *EGFR*-TKI treatment had been less studied. A small study investigated the dynamic changes in *EGFR* mutation status in CTCs for monitoring

Dynamic Monitoring of CTCs



Abbreviations: CTC = circulating tumor cell; EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor; NSCLC = non-small-cell lung cancer; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival.

EGFR-TKI treatment efficacy.³⁴ The authors utilized an assay based on real-time PCR and melting curve analysis to test EGFR mutations in CTCs. In 8 patients with baseline EGFR-mutant CTC NSCLC, 4 of them experienced "cleared" EGFR-mutant CTCs after EGFR-TKI treatment. Among them, 3 experienced PR and 1 SD. Moreover, patients with cleared EGFR-mutant CTCs had a significantly prolonged recurrence-free time compared to those with EGFR mutations in CTCs that remained positive. These 4 patients, whose disease initially responded to treatment, had CTC-based EGFR mutation again in the blood before clinical progression. Their results indicated that an increase in EGFR-mutant CTC counts could be an early predictor of EGFR-TKI resistance and disease relapse. Maheswaran et al³⁵ also reported a decrease in CTC level after treatment initiation and an increase in CTC level upon PD in a small cohort of advanced NSCLC patients receiving EGFR-TKI.

Considering the high cost of CTC genotyping under the current circumstance, our study assessed the dynamic monitoring value of CTC counts during *EGFR*-TKI treatment. Our results showed that an obvious decrease in CTC value was observed in patients with sustained objective response while increase in CTC value was observed in patients with PD or potential PD, which suggested that changes in CTC counts had the potential for dynamic monitoring. Most importantly, our data suggested that dynamic CTC analysis could provide early evidence of drug resistance before conventional imaging. Collectively, these findings indicated that it is reasonable to further investigate the clinical value of dynamic change of CTC level on the guidance of following therapeutic strategies during or after first-line *EGFR*-TKI treatment in patients with *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC.

In the current study, we applied a novel FR-based LT-PCR method to enumerate CTCs. When compared to conventional epithelial cell

Tao Jiang et al





Abbreviations: CT = computed tomography; CTC = circulating tumor cell.

adhesion molecule (EpCAM)-dependent CTC analysis, the negative enrichment procedure enables the collection of a wider variety of CTCs that might be more useful than the EpCAM-dependent method. For malignant tumors where epithelial—mesenchymal transition has caused a down-regulation of EpCAM in the CTC population, the numeric result may be low because of false-negative findings.³⁶ Additionally, through applying conjugates of folic acid and a synthesized oligonucleotide to capture FR-positive CTCs, quantitative PCR would be performed to count the CTCs with high accuracy. By using this method, our previous study reported that FR-positive CTCs had good

	PFS						OS					
	Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis		Univariate Analysis		Multivariate Analysis					
Characteristic	HR	95% CI	P	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
Sex (male/female)	1.376	0.933-2.031	.108				1.188	0.789-1.790	.409			
Age (< 65/ \geq 65 years)	0.655	0.433-0.992	.046	0.631	0.415-0.958	.031	0.747	0.496-1.126	.163			
Smoking (never/smoking)	0.758	0.427-1.233	.712				0.834	0.489-1.553	.817			
Histology (nonadenocarcinoma /adenocarcinoma)	1.361	0.742-2.497	.320				1.270	0.658-2.452	.477			
TNM (IIIB/IV)	0.754	0.330-1.726	.504				0.911	0.369-2.247	.839			
Brain metastasis (yes/no)	1.148	0.730-1.806	.549				1.472	0.947-2.289	.086	1.368	0.873-2.143	.171
Bone metastasis (yes/no)	1.308	0.879-1.946	.185				1.390	0.922-2.095	.116			
Liver metastasis (yes/no)	1.249	0.457-3.414	.665				3.292	1.643-6.596	.001	4.091	2.001-8.366	< .001
Other sites metastasis (yes/no)	0.931	0.612-1.415	.738				0.987	0.636-1.533	.987			
Disease control (PR+SD/PD)	0.023	0.009-0.058	< .001	0.024	0.008-0.072	< .001	0.126	0.073-0.215	< .001	0.113	0.065-0.197	< .001
CTC count $(\leq 17.0 > 17.0)$	0.475	0.321-0.702	< .001	0.497	0.335-0.736	< .001	0.505	0.323-0.789	.003	0.434	0.274-0.689	< .001
EGFR (rare/common)	1.368	0.709-2.638	.349				0.983	0.428-2.256	.968			

Table 2 Univariate and Multivariate Analyses of Distinct Parameters on PFS and OS

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; CTC = circulating tumor cell; EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor; HR = hazard ratio; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival; TNM = tumor, node, metastasis classification system.

diagnostic value in lung cancer patients (sensitivity, 72%-76%; specificity, 82%-88%).²⁵ The LT-PCR method has recently been approved by the Chinese Food and Drug Administration for clinical application of CTC counts in China. Hence, the CTC count method used in the current study could provide an accurate evaluation between CTC counts and treatment response.

There are several limitations of this study. First, we set the cutoff of high versus low baseline CTC count on the basis of our previous findings instead of the value of positive versus negative FR-positive CTC counts (8.7 FU/3 mL to be positive). The clinical value of this cutoff has been validated in this study (Supplemental Figure 1 in the online version). Second, patients with high CTC count had a higher rate of EGFR rare mutations than those with low CTC count. This difference could have a substantial impact on PFS between the two groups. However, most of the rare mutations in the current study were EGFR G719X (n = 3), S768I (n = 1), and L861Q (n = 5), which are also sensitive to EGFR-TKIs. Moreover, the subgroup analyses of the difference in PFS and OS in the distinct EGFR mutant types should be interpreted with caution because these did not have a priori statistical power to justify that the sample size is large enough to explore subgroups. Third, as a result of the limitations of the detection method, we did not investigate the predictive and dynamic value of EGFR-mutant CTC. In the future, it may be important to explore the significance of CTC genotyping in both predicting and monitoring EGFR-TKI treatment efficacy in those with EGFR-mutant NSCLC.

Conclusion

Our study demonstrated that baseline FR-positive CTC counts and dynamic change in CTC count were potential predictors for the prognosis in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC treated with *EGFR*-TKI. CTC counts could play a critical role in monitoring the effect of targeted therapy in patients with NSCLC, and the value of this measure warrants further validation in a larger, strictly designed prospective study.

Clinical Practice Points

- Baseline CTC count has a prognostic value for PFS and OS in *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC patients treated with first-line *EGFR*-TKIs.
- The dynamic change of CTC count was significantly associated with treatment response and provided evidence of resistance to *EGFR*-TKIs before CT scanning in these populations.

Acknowledgments

Supported in part by part by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81672286, 81772467, and 81874036) and the Chronic Diseases Program of Shanghai Shen Kang Pharmaceutical Development Co Ltd (SHDC 12015314).

Disclosure

The authors have stated that they have no conflict of interest.

Supplemental Data

A supplemental table accompanying this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cllc.2018.11.014.

References

- 1. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66:115-32.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 7-30.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: non–small cell lung cancer, version 4.2016, Available at: https://www. nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.aspx.
- 4. Chen Z, Fillmore CM, Hammerman PS, et al. Non-small-cell lung cancers: a heterogeneous set of diseases. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2014; 14:535-46.
- Rotow J, Bivona TG. Understanding and targeting resistance mechanisms in NSCLC. Nat Rev Cancer 2017; 17:637-58.
- Hirsch FR, Scagliotti GV, Mulshine JL, et al. Lung cancer: current therapies and new targeted treatments. *Lancet* 2017; 389:299-311.
- Mok TŠ, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med 2009; 361:947-57.
- Zhou C, Wu YL, Chen G, et al. Erlotinib versus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for patients with advanced *EGFR* mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (OPTIMAL, CTONG-0802): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 2011; 12:735-42.
- Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, et al. Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced *EGFR* mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): a multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2012; 13:239-46.
- Yang JC, Wu YL, Schuler M, et al. Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for *EGFR* mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-Lung 6): analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; 16:141-51.
- Camidge DR, Pao W, Sequist LV. Acquired resistance to TKIs in solid tumours: learning from lung cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2014; 11:473-81.
- Cross DA, Ashton SE, Ghiorghiu S, et al. AZD9291, an irreversible EGFR TKI, overcomes T790M-mediated resistance to EGFR inhibitors in lung cancer. Cancer Discov 2014; 4:1046-61.
- Mok TS, Wu YL, Ahn MJ, et al. Osimertinib or platinum-pemetrexed in EGFR T790M-positive lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2017; 376:629-40.
- 14. Pantel K, Speicher MR. The biology of circulating tumor cells. *Oncogene* 2016; 35: 1216-24.
- Cristofanilli M, Budd GT, Ellis MJ, et al. Circulating tumor cells, disease progression, and survival in metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2004; 351:781-91.
- Cohen SJ, Punt CJ, Iannotti N, et al. Relationship of circulating tumor cells to tumor response, progression-free survival, and overall survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26:3213-21.
- Khoja L, Lorigan P, Zhou C, et al. Biomarker utility of circulating tumor cells in metastatic cutaneous melanoma. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133:1582-90.
- Matsusaka S, Chin K, Ogura M, et al. Circulating tumor cells as a surrogate marker for determining response to chemotherapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer. *Cancer Sci* 2010; 101:1067-71.
- Krebs MG, Sloane R, Priest L, et al. Evaluation and prognostic significance of circulating tumor cells in patients with non–small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29:1556-63.
- Scher HI, Jia X, de Bono JS, et al. Circulating tumour cells as prognostic markers in progressive, castration-resistant prostate cancer: a reanalysis of IMMC38 trial data. *Lancet Oncol* 2009; 10:233-9.
- Muinelo-Romay L, Vieito M, Abalo A, et al. Evaluation of circulating tumor cells and related events as prognostic factors and surrogate biomarkers in advanced NSCLC patients receiving first-line systemic treatment. *Cancers (Basel)* 2014; 6:153-65.
- Zhang Z, Xiao Y, Zhao J, et al. Relationship between circulating tumour cell count and prognosis following chemotherapy in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Respirology* 2016; 21:519-25.
- Wu ZX, Liu Z, Jiang HL, Pan HM, Han WD. Circulating tumor cells predict survival benefit from chemotherapy in patients with lung cancer. *Oncotarget* 2016; 7:67586-96.
- 24. He W, Li W, Jiang B, et al. Correlation between epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor efficacy and circulating tumor cell levels in patients with advanced non–small cell lung cancer. *Onco Targets Ther* 2016; 9:7515-20.
- Chen X, Zhou F, Li X, et al. Folate receptor—positive circulating tumor cell detected by LT-PCR—based method as a diagnostic biomarker for non—small-cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2015; 10:1163-71.
- Yu Y, Chen Z, Dong J, et al. Folate receptor—positive circulating rumor cells as a novel diagnostic biomarker in non—small cell lung cancer. *Transl Oncol* 2013; 6: 697-702.
- 27. Lou J, Ben S, Yang G, et al. Quantification of rare circulating tumor cells in non-small cell lung cancer by ligand-targeted PCR. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e80458.
- Zhao M, Zhang Y, Cai W, et al. The Bim deletion polymorphism clinical profile and its relation with tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance in Chinese patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer* 2014; 120:2299-307.
- Li X, Ren R, Ren S, et al. Peripheral blood for epidermal growth factor receptor mutation detection in non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Transl Oncol* 2014; 7:341-8.
- 30. Jiang T, Su C, Li X, et al. *EGFR* TKIs plus WBRT demonstrated no survival benefit other than that of TKIs alone in patients with NSCLC and *EGFR* mutation and brain metastases. *J Thorac Oncol* 2016; 11:1718-28.
- Chen X, Zhou C, Li X, et al. Correlation of baseline value of folate receptorpositive circulating tumor cells and efficacy of pemetrexed and dynamic

Dynamic Monitoring of CTCs

monitoring study in NSCLC patients receiving first-line platinum-based chemotherapy [abstract 156P]. J Thorac Oncol 2016; 11(4 suppl):S125-6.
32. Shen J, Zhao J, Jiang T, et al. Predictive and prognostic value of folate receptor-

- Shen J, Zhao J, Jiang T, et al. Predictive and prognostic value of rotate receptor-positive circulating tumor cells in small cell lung cancer patients treated with first-line chemotherapy. Oncotarget 2017; 8:49044-52.
 Punnoose EA, Atwal S, Liu W, et al. Evaluation of circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA in non-small cell lung cancer: association with clinical
- endpoints in a phase II clinical trial of pertuzumab and erlotinib. Clin Cancer Res 2012; 18:2391-401.
- 34. Breitenbuecher F, Hoffarth S, Worm K, et al. Development of a highly sensitive and specific method for detection of circulating tumor cells harboring somatic mutations in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e85350.
- 35. Maheswaran S, Sequist LV, Nagrath S, et al. Detection of mutations in EGFR in circulating lung-cancer cells. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359:366-77.
 36. Gorges TM, Tinhofer I, Drosch M, et al. Circulating tumour cells escape from
- EpCAM-based detection due to epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. BMC Cancer 2012; 12:178.

Supplemental Data



Abbreviations: CTC = circulating tumor cell; NSCLC = non-small-cell lung cancer; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival.

Dynamic Monitoring of CTCs

Supplemental Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier Curves of PFS and OS in NSCLC Patients With High Versus Low Baseline CTC Count. PFS (A) and OS (D) in NSCLC Patients With *EGFR* 19del Mutation and Low Baseline CTC Level was Significantly Longer Than Those With High Baseline CTC Level. PFS (B) and OS (E) in Patients With *EGFR L858R* Mutation and Low Baseline CTC Level was also Significantly Prolonged Than Those With High Baseline CTC Level. PFS (C) of Patients With *EGFR* Rare Mutation and Low Baseline CTC Counts Group were Longer Than Those With High Baseline CTC Count but Without Significance. (F) In *EGFR* Rare Mutation Group, Patients With Low CTC Value had Similar OS to Those With High CTC Level



Abbreviations: CTC = circulating tumor cell; NSCLC = non-small-cell lung cancer; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival.